

About this report

PRI reporting is the largest global reporting project on responsible investment. It was developed with investors, for investors.

PRI signatories are required to report publicly on their responsible investment activities each year. In turn, they receive a number of outputs, including a public and private Transparency Report.

The public Transparency Reports, which are produced using signatories' reported information, provide accountability and support signatories to have internal discussions about their practices and to discuss these with their clients, beneficiaries, and other stakeholders.

This public Transparency Report is an export of the signatory's responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2023 reporting period. It includes the signatory's responses to core indicators, as well as responses to plus indicators that the signatory has agreed to make public.

In response to signatory feedback, the PRI has not summarised signatories' responses – the information in this document is presented exactly as it was reported.

For each of the indicators in this document, all options selected by the signatory are presented, including links and qualitative responses. In some indicators, all applicable options are included for additional context.

Disclaimers

Responsible investment definitions

Within the PRI Reporting Framework Glossary, we provide definitions for key terms to guide reporting on responsible investment practices in the Reporting Framework. These definitions may differ from those used or proposed by other authorities and regulatory bodies due to evolving industry perspectives and changing legislative landscapes. Users of this report should be aware of these variations, as they may impact interpretations of the information provided.

Data accuracy

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2023 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented.

The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

Table of Contents

Module	Page
SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)	4
ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO)	8
POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)	20
LISTED EQUITY (LE)	49
FIXED INCOME (FI)	58
PRIVATE EQUITY (PE)	65
CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)	76



SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Senior Leadership Statement	GENERAL

Section 1. Our commitment

- Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?
- What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment, and what major responsible investment commitment(s) have you made?

True North is a leading private equity firm in India. Since 1999, our business model has focused on transforming mid-size, India-centric businesses into socially responsible enterprises. This transformation enables our partner companies to become world-class industry leaders. We have successfully launched six investment funds with a combined corpus of US\$ 3 Bn - including ~USD 500 Mn as coinvestment.

Our strong ethical values and commitment lay the foundation for 'doing well by doing right'.

This notion dictates our sense of purpose and helps us distinguish ourselves by aligning with the core principles of environment, social and governance. We believe that successful organizational leadership within the next decade will define businesses with a clear purpose while ensuring increased value creation for the stakeholders. Therefore, we have developed a diverse and collaborative team that drives innovation and operational excellence.

Our investment philosophy is doing things 'The Right Way' wherein 'how' we do our business matters more than 'how much' business we do

We believe in adopting a principled, collaborative, and value-aligned approach with our partner companies to deliver boundless value for all stakeholders. Our approach to responsible investment is driven by our strategy to identify and invest in fast-growing, structurally attractive, socially responsible, Indian mid-market segment companies aligned with our core values. We believe there lies immense potential and drive for growth in these companies, which can be leveraged with our active ownership and stewardship efforts to make a positive difference to society.

To commit to our responsible investment philosophy, and in line with UNPRI commitments, we adopted a firmwide overarching Responsible Investment Policy ('RI Policy').

Our RI policy lays down overall expectations and commitments to adopting responsible investment principles in our operations. As a part of this overarching RI policy, our ESG Policy and Implementation Manual ('ESG Policy') details the process of ESG integration in all our investments, including stewardship during the ownership period. We also work very closely with the management of our partner companies, where we hold a position of influence, to support them to action a long term strategic plan to achieve true potential in their business while keeping the long-term societal impact in consideration. Further, in our private debt investments, we have also developed a due diligence process to identify any potential ESG and climate change risks in the deal.



We approach our investment to look beyond our ownership period. We aim to be a value adding partner for our investee companies. This involves working on both value creation opportunities and helping the partner companies comply with applicable ESG requirements and take necessary action against non-compliances, incidents (if any) and ultimately enhancing overall ESG performance.

Key elements of our responsible investment policy/ strategy are as follows:

- All Investments are covered under our responsible investment mandate
- ESG & Climate Change (CC) considerations included in the investment screening process in all investments in a differentiated
- In all our investments with Active partnership, we collaborate to conduct/develop the following:
- Materiality assessment
- ESG Policy & Management System
- Kev ESG related Policies
- Climate Risk resilience through appropriate Climate Action
- Governance structure headed by CEO with periodic updates to the Board
- Designated ESG Head
- ESG performance aspects to be part of Internal Audit scope
- Reporting on ESG information to True North on periodic basis
- Annual declaration on compliance with applicable regulations and ongoing compliance with the True North's excluded activity list
- Report on significant ESG related incidents in the company
- True North's ESG Assessment Framework is extended to all partner companies with active partnership:
- Assess their score at the time of onboarding and take targets to achieve desired level of maturity
- Identify ESG opportunities through continual measurement and monitoring
- Identify and recognize top performers amongst our partner companies

ESG performance and journey of the partner companies is documented in the form of case studies.

Section 2. Annual overview

- Discuss your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. Details might include, for example, outlining your single most important achievement or describing your general progress on topics such as the following (where applicable):
- refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
- stewardship activities with investees and/or with policymakers
- collaborative engagements
- attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards



Highlights of our Responsible investment performance during the reporting year.

Responsibility in investment

- Launched a new asset class Private Debt and designed and implemented a separate tool for assessing ESG risk and integrating ESG investing principles in Private Debt investments
- Revision of our ESG Policy and Implementation Manual to align with UNPRI commitments, expand and integrate Responsible
 Investment practices basis influence and include ESG integration the Firm's own operations
- Developed Sector specific ESG guidance notes.

Resilience towards climate change

- Integrated Climate Change into our commitments by repurposing our ESG vertical as "ESG & Climate Change (ESG & CC)".
- Execution of our climate objectives through Climate Policy and Implementation Plan aligned with commitment to Paris Agreement and Task force on Climate Related Financial Disclosures (TCFD).
- Achieved Carbon Neutrality since inception in own operations in 2021.
- We published our first TCFD report to disclose on our performance and climate related risks and opportunities on True North and our Partner companies

Reinforcing our partner companies

- Conceptualized an ESG Assessment Framework to digitally track and manage portfolio progress.
- Climate Risk Assessment to assess climate risks and opportunities across our existing portfolio and developed a roadmap (based on TCFD recommendations).
- Sustainability Learning Series to build awareness on curated ESG and climate change topics.

Responsive to people

- Progressed on our commitment to diversity and inclusion 24.5% women in own operations.
- >70% of partner companies recognized as "Great Place to Work" in India.
- Meaningful financial and social support to the families of the deceased employees due to Covid-19 pandemic.

Rejuvenating our communities

- True North Foundation contributed INR 20 Mn (FY22) to support marginalized groups, mental health awareness programs, governance improvement and education.
- Partner companies contributed over INR 214 Mn (FY22) for education, skill development, healthcare, social infrastructure and environment.

Section 3. Next steps

■ What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

In the next two years, we shall focus on the following initiatives:

Developing a Sustainability Outcomes Framework: We shall develop a sustainability outcomes framework based on the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The Framework will align with our sectoral focus, investment strategies and existing ESG practices, to identify intended and unintended outcomes connected with our investments.

Developing a DEI Strategy for True North and our Partner Companies: We will develop a Diversity, Equity and inclusion Policy and Strategy for the Firm and our Portfolio.



The strategy will also result in development of a mechanism to integrate DEI commitments in all new investments.

Mainstreaming Human Rights in the investment lifecycle: Although elements of Human rights are incorporated in our Human Resources and ESG due diligence, we shall develop a plan to integrate Human rights in the investment lifecycle. This means that we will not only screen investments using human rights lens but also focus on value creation during our ownership phase and track progress on Human Rights performance of our investments on a regular basis.

GHG Reduction Plan for the portfolio: We are currently working with our portfolio to take initial steps towards Climate Action. We are currently working with the partner companies to help them understand their GHG footprint and prepare an inventory of emissions. Going forward, we shall develop a carbon reduction plan for our portfolio by taking mitigation and adaptation measures, specific to each business.

Signing up for Memberships on EDCI & iCI: We shall sign up to some of the globally renowned ESG and Climate Change initiatives such as ESG Data Convergence Initiative (EDCI) and initiative Climat International (iCI).

Section 4. Endorsement

'The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment'.

Name

Vishal Nevatia

Position

Managing Partner

Organisation's Name

True North

A

This endorsement applies only to the Senior Leadership Statement and should not be considered an endorsement of the information reported by the above-mentioned organisation in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement serves as a general overview of the above-mentioned organisation's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such. Further, it is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions'.



This endorsement reflects our overall commitment to responsible investment practices and applies to our entire UNPRI report.



ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (00)

ORGANISATIONAL INFORMATION

REPORTING YEAR

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
001	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Reporting year	GENERAL

What is the year-end date of the 12-month period you have chosen to report for PRI reporting purposes?

	Date	Month	Year
Year-end date of the 12-month period for PRI reporting purposes:	31	12	2022

SUBSIDIARY INFORMATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 2	CORE	N/A	OO 2.1	PUBLIC	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Does your organisation have subsidiaries?

o (A) Yes

⊚ (B) No



ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT

ALL ASSET CLASSES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 4	CORE	00 3	N/A	PUBLIC	All asset classes	GENERAL

What are your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the reporting year, as indicated in [OO 1]?

(A) AUM of your organisation, including subsidiaries, and excluding the AUM subject to US\$ 1,629,854,000.00 execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only (B) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories in their own right and excluded from this US\$ 0.00 submission, as indicated in [OO 2.2] (C) AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research US\$ 0.00 advisory only

Additional information on the exchange rate used: (Voluntary)

82.67 INR/USD



ASSET BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5	CORE	OO 3	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total AUM at the end of the reporting year as indicated in [OO 1].

	(1) Percentage of Internally managed AUM	(2) Percentage of Externally managed AUM
(A) Listed equity	>10-50%	0%
(B) Fixed income	>0-10%	0%
(C) Private equity	>75%	0%
(D) Real estate	0%	0%
(E) Infrastructure	0%	0%
(F) Hedge funds	0%	0%
(G) Forestry	0%	0%
(H) Farmland	0%	0%
(I) Other	0%	0%
(J) Off-balance sheet	0%	0%



ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED LISTED EQUITY

Indicator	Type of indicato	r Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle			
00 5.3 LE	CORE	OO 5	Multiple	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed listed equity	GENERAL			
Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed listed equity AUM.									
(A) Passive eq	uity 09	%							
(B) Active – qu	antitative 09	%							
(C) Active – fu	ndamental >7	75%							

ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED FIXED INCOME

0%

Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CORE	OO 5	Multiple	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed fixed income	GENERAL
breakdown of your	internally manage	d fixed income	AUM.		
SSA 0%					
corporate 0%					
6A 0%					
rporate 0%					
0%					
	CORE Threakdown of your SSA 0% Corporate 0% SA 0% Through the components of the	CORE OO 5 Threakdown of your internally manage of the second of the sec	CORE OO 5 Multiple Threakdown of your internally managed fixed income SSA 0% Corporate 0% SA 0% Tryorate 0%	CORE OO 5 Multiple PUBLIC Threakdown of your internally managed fixed income AUM. SSA 0% Corporate 0% GA 0% Tryorate 0%	CORE OO 5 Multiple PUBLIC Internally managed fixed income Threakdown of your internally managed fixed income AUM. SSA 0% Forporate 0% Forporate 0%



(D) Other strategies

ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED PRIVATE EQUITY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.3 PE	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PUBLIC	Asset breakdown: Internally managed private equity	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed private equity AUM.

(A) Venture capital	0%
(B) Growth capital	>75%
(C) (Leveraged) buy-out	0%
(D) Distressed, turnaround or special situations	0%
(E) Secondaries	0%
(F) Other	0%

GEOGRAPHICAL BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 7	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PUBLIC	Geographical breakdown	GENERAL

How much of your AUM in each asset class is invested in emerging markets and developing economies?



AUM in Emerging Markets and Developing Economies

(A) Listed equity	(12) 100%
(E) Fixed income – private debt	(12) 100%
(F) Private equity	(12) 100%

STEWARDSHIP

STEWARDSHIP

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 8	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Stewardship	GENERAL

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities, excluding (proxy) voting, for any of your assets?

	(1) Listed equity - active	(3) Fixed income - active	(5) Private equity
(A) Yes, through internal staff	Ø		V
(B) Yes, through service providers			
(C) Yes, through external managers			
(D) We do not conduct stewardship	0	•	O



STEWARDSHIP: (PROXY) VOTING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 9	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	GENERAL

Does your organisation conduct (proxy) voting activities for any of your listed equity holdings?

(1) Listed equity - active

(A) Yes, through internal staff	
(B) Yes, through service providers	
(C) Yes, through external managers	
(D) We do not conduct (proxy) voting	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 9.1	CORE	OO 9	PGS 10.1, PGS 31	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	GENERAL

For each asset class, on what percentage of your listed equity holdings do you have the discretion to vote?

Percentage of your listed equity holdings over which you have the discretion to vote

(A) Listed equity – active	(12) 100%
----------------------------	-----------



STEWARDSHIP NOT CONDUCTED

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 10	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship not conducted	2

Describe why your organisation does not currently conduct stewardship and/or (proxy) voting.

Stewardship, excluding (proxy) voting

(C) Fixed income - active

Our private debt investments also have an exclusion list similar to equity investments. As the tenure of these investments is relatively shorter and we do not have the equity like rights to influence the decision of our investee companies in the post investment period, this limits our ability to conduct stewardship and/or proxy voting in Private Debt Investments. Therefore, our approach for ESG integration in Private Debt focuses on evaluating companies through extensive pre-investment diligence based on the specific nature of the transactions and the sectors that we are investing in. We have developed a proprietary 'ESG Private Credit Risk and Maturity Tool' to ensure meaningful ESG integration in Private Debt.

ESG INCORPORATION

INTERNALLY MANAGED ASSETS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 11	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Internally managed assets	1

For each internally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors into your investment decisions?

	(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions	(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions
(C) Listed equity - active - fundamental	•	0
(H) Fixed income - private debt	•	0
(I) Private equity	•	0



ESG STRATEGIES

LISTED EQUITY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 17 LE	CORE	00 11	OO 17.1 LE, LE 12	PUBLIC	Listed equity	1

Which ESG incorporation approach and/or combination of approaches does your organisation apply to your internally managed active listed equity?

Percentage out of total internally managed active listed equity

(A) Screening alone	>75%
(B) Thematic alone	0%
(C) Integration alone	0%
(D) Screening and integration	0%
(E) Thematic and integration	0%
(F) Screening and thematic	0%
(G) All three approaches combined	0%
(H) None	0%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 17.1 LE	CORE	00 17 LE	LE 9	PUBLIC	Listed equity	1

What type of screening does your organisation use for your internally managed active listed equity assets where a screening approach is applied?



Percentage coverage out of your total listed equity assets where a screening approach is applied

(A) Positive/best-in-class screening only	0%
(B) Negative screening only	>75%
(C) A combination of screening approaches	0%

ESG/SUSTAINABILITY FUNDS AND PRODUCTS

LABELLING AND MARKETING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18	CORE	OO 11–14	OO 18.1	PUBLIC	Labelling and marketing	1

Do you explicitly market any of your products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable?

- $\circ~$ (A) Yes, we market products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable
- (B) No, we do not offer products or funds explicitly marketed as ESG and/or sustainable
- o (C) Not applicable; we do not offer products or funds

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 21	CORE	Multiple indicators	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Summary of reporting requirements	GENERAL

The following table shows which modules are mandatory or voluntary to report on in the separate PRI asset class modules. Where a module is voluntary, indicate if you wish to report on it.



Applicable modules	(1) Mandatory to report (pre-filled based on previous responses)	(2.1) Voluntary to report. Yes, I want to opt-in to reporting on the module	(2.2) Voluntary to report. No, I want to opt-out of reporting on the module
Policy, Governance and Strategy	•	0	0
Confidence Building Measures	•	0	0
(C) Listed equity – active – fundamental	•	0	0
(H) Fixed income – private debt	0	•	0
(I) Private equity	•	0	0

OTHER ASSET BREAKDOWNS

PRIVATE EQUITY: SECTORS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
00 22	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Private equity: Sectors	GENERAL

In which sector(s) are your internally managed private equity assets invested?

- ☐ (A) Energy
- ☑ (B) Materials
- \square (C) Industrials
- \square (D) Consumer discretionary
- ☑ (E) Consumer staples
- **☑** (F) Healthcare
- **☑** (G) Financials
- ☑ (H) Information technology
- ☑ (I) Communication services
- ☐ (J) Utilities
- ☐ (K) Real estate



PRIVATE EQUITY: OWNERSHIP LEVEL

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 23	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Private equity: Ownership level	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your internally managed private equity investments by the level of ownership?

☑ (A) A majority stake (more than 50%)

Select from the list:

- o (1) >0 to 10%
- o (2) >10 to 50%
- (3) >50 to 75%
- o (4) >75%

☑ (B) A significant minority stake (between 10–50%)

Select from the list:

- o (1) >0 to 10%
- **(2)** >10 to 50%

☑ (C) A limited minority stake (less than 10%)

Select from the list:

- o (1) >0 to 10%
- **(2) >10 to 50%**

SUBMISSION INFORMATION

REPORT DISCLOSURE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 32	CORE	OO 3, OO 31	N/A	PUBLIC	Report disclosure	GENERAL

How would you like to disclose the detailed percentage figures you reported throughout the Reporting Framework?

- o (A) Publish as absolute numbers
- **(B) Publish as ranges**



POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)

POLICY

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY ELEMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 1	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1, 2

Which elements are covered in your formal responsible investment policy(ies)?

- ☑ (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- ☑ (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- ☑ (C) Guidelines on social factors
- ☑ (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- $\ \square$ (E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes
- ☑ (F) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold
- **☑** (G) Guidelines on exclusions
- \square (H) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment
- ☑ (I) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees
- ☐ (J) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement
- \square (K) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders
- \square (L) Stewardship: Guidelines on (proxy) voting
- ☐ (M) Other responsible investment elements not listed here
- o (N) Our organisation does not have a formal responsible investment policy and/or our policy(ies) do not cover any responsible investment elements

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 2	CORE	PGS 1	Multiple, see guidance	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1

Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) include specific guidelines on systematic sustainability issues?

- ☐ (A) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)
- ☑ (B) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)
- ☑ (C) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues Specify:

We have guidelines related to Decent Work, Human Rights, Modern Slavery, countering corruption, Anti-discrimination, Anti-bribery, etc. as part of our Code of Conduct

o (D) Our formal responsible investment policy(ies) does not include guidelines on systematic sustainability issues



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 3	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	6

Which elements of your formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available?

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment Add link:

https://www.truenorth.co.in/truenorth-policies/

(B) Guidelines on environmental factors Add link:

https://www.truenorth.co.in/truenorth-policies/

☑ (C) Guidelines on social factors

Add link:

https://www.truenorth.co.in/truenorth-policies/

☑ (D) Guidelines on governance factors

Add link:

https://www.truenorth.co.in/truenorth-policies/

☑ (F) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)

Add link:

https://www.truenorth.co.in/truenorth-policies/

(G) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors) Add link:

https://www.truenorth.co.in/responsibility/#policies

- \square (H) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues
- (I) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold Add link:

https://www.truenorth.co.in/truenorth-policies/

☑ (J) Guidelines on exclusions

Add link:

https://www.truenorth.co.in/truenorth-policies/

(L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees Add link:

https://www.truenorth.co.in/truenorth-policies/

 $\circ~$ (Q) No elements of our formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 4	PLUS	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	1-6

Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) identify a link between your responsible investment activities and your fiduciary duties or equivalent obligations?



Elaborate:

Our approach is to look beyond our ownership period in all our investments. We aim to be a value adding partner for our investee companies. This involves working on both value creation opportunities and helping the partner companies comply with applicable ESG requirements and take necessary action against non-compliances, incidents (if any) and ultimately enhancing overall ESG performance.

Key elements of our responsible investment policy/ strategy are as follows:

- All Investments to be covered under our responsible investment mandate
- ESG & Climate Change (CC) considerations included in the investment screening process in all investments in a differentiated manner
- In all our investments with Active partnership, we collaborate to conduct/develop the following:
- Materiality assessment
- ESG Policy & Management System
- Key ESG related Policies
- Climate Risk resilience through appropriate Climate Action
- Governance structure headed by CEO with periodic updates to the Board
- Designated ESG Head
- ESG performance aspects to be part of Internal Audit scope
- Reporting on ESG information to True North on periodic basis
- Annual declaration on compliance with applicable regulations and ongoing compliance with the True North's excluded activity list
- Report on material adverse ESG related incidents in the company
- True North's ESG Assessment Framework is extended to all partner companies with active partnership:
- Assess their score at the time of onboarding and take targets to achieve desired level of maturity
- Identify ESG opportunities through continual measurement and monitoring
- Identify and recognize top performers amongst our partner companies

ESG performance and journey of the partner companies to be documented in the form of case studies.

o (B) No



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 5	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Which elements are covered in your organisation's policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship?

- ☑ (A) Overall stewardship objectives
- ☑ (B) Prioritisation of specific ESG factors to be advanced via stewardship activities
- \Box (C) Criteria used by our organisation to prioritise the investees, policy makers, key stakeholders, or other entities on which to focus our stewardship efforts
- (D) How different stewardship tools and activities are used across the organisation
- **☑** (E) Approach to escalation in stewardship
- ☑ (F) Approach to collaboration in stewardship
- ☑ (G) Conflicts of interest related to stewardship
- \Box (H) How stewardship efforts and results are communicated across the organisation to feed into investment decision-making and vice versa
- ☐ (I) Other
- o (J) None of the above elements is captured in our policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 7	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Does your organisation have a policy that states how (proxy) voting is addressed in your securities lending programme?

- o (A) We have a publicly available policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme
- o (B) We have a policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme, but it is not publicly available
- o (C) We rely on the policy of our external service provider(s)
- o (D) We do not have a policy to address (proxy) voting in our securities lending programme
- (E) Not applicable; we do not have a securities lending programme



RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY COVERAGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 8	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What percentage of your total AUM is covered by the below elements of your responsible investment policy(ies)?

Combined AUM coverage of all policy elements

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment

(B) Guidelines on environmental factors

(C) Guidelines on social factors

(D) Guidelines on governance factors

(7) 100%

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 9	CORE	PGS 2	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What proportion of your AUM is covered by your formal policies or guidelines on climate change, human rights, or other systematic sustainability issues?

AUM coverage

(A) Specific guidelines on climate change	(2) for a majority of our AUM
(B) Specific guidelines on human rights	(1) for all of our AUM



(1) for all of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 10	CORE	OO 8, OO 9, PGS 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Responsible investment policy coverage	2

Per asset class, what percentage of your AUM is covered by your policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship with investees?

☑ (A) Listed equity

- (1) Percentage of AUM covered
 - o (1) >0% to 10%
 - o (2) >10% to 20%
 - o (3) >20% to 30%
 - o (4) >30% to 40%
 - o (5) >40% to 50%
 - o (6) >50% to 60%
 - o (7) >60% to 70%
 - (8) >70% to 80%

 - o (9) >80% to 90%
 - o (10) >90% to <100%
 - o (11) 100%
- (2) If your AUM coverage is below 100%, explain why: (Voluntary)

Although all our investments are guided by our responsible investment approach and policy, our Stewardship approach and activities vary with our level of influence in each investment.

☑ (C) Private equity

- (1) Percentage of AUM covered
 - o (1) >0% to 10%
 - o (2) >10% to 20%
 - o (3) >20% to 30%
 - o (4) >30% to 40%
 - o (5) >40% to 50%
 - o (6) >50% to 60%
 - o (7) >60% to 70%
 - o (8) >70% to 80%
 - (9) >80% to 90%
 - o (10) >90% to <100%
 - o (11) 100%
- (2) If your AUM coverage is below 100%, explain why: (Voluntary)

Although all our investments are guided by our responsible investment approach and policy, our Stewardship approach and activities vary with our level of influence in each investment.



GOVERNANCE

ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Which senior level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation have formal oversight over and accountability for responsible investment?

\square (A) Board members, trustees, or equ	valen	I
---	-------	---

☑ (B) Senior executive-level staff, or equivalent Specify:

We have constituted a dedicated ESG & Climate Change (ESG &CC) Committee headed by our Managing Partner. Several individuals and functions have a role, and formal oversight and accountability framework has been clearly defined to effectively embrace ESG & CC.

The Committee comprises:

Managing Partner, PE (Head)

Managing Partner, Private Debt (Member)

Partner, Investment Management (Member)

Partner, Business Management (Member)

CFO & Firm HR (Member)

Head of ESG & CC (Secretary & Convener)

- \square (C) Investment committee, or equivalent
- ☑ (D) Head of department, or equivalent

Specify department:

Head of ESG and CC is responsible for implementing and executing projects in the Firm and in the Partner Companies.

o (E) None of the above bodies and roles have oversight over and accountability for responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.1	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2, PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1, 2

Does your organisation's senior level body(ies) or role(s) have formal oversight over and accountability for the elements covered in your responsible investment policy(ies)?



(2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment	
(B) Guidelines on environmental, social and/or governance factors	
(D) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)	
(E) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)	
(F) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues	
(G) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold	
(H) Guidelines on exclusions	
(J) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees	
(N) This role has no formal oversight over and accountability for any of the above elements covered in our responsible investment policy(ies)	•

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1-6



Does your organisation have governance processes or structures to ensure that your overall political engagement is aligned with your commitment to the principles of PRI, including any political engagement conducted by third parties on your behalf?

(A) Yes

Describe how you do this:

All engagements/ participation of True North in Policy Consultations, etc are reviewed and discussed by our ESG and Climate Change Governance Committee to ensure alignment with the Firm's overall commitment to Responsible investment and that to the Principles of PRI.

- o (B) No
- o (C) Not applicable, our organisation does not conduct any form of political engagement directly or through any third parties

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 12	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

In your organisation, which internal or external roles are responsible for implementing your approach to responsible investment?

☑ (A) Internal role(s)

Specify:

In order to achieve the objectives of our Responsible investment policy ESG & Climate Change Team works closely with investment management teams of Private Equity and Private Debt along with Business management teams (Private Equity) and Chief Risk Officer (Private Debt) to meaningfully integrate ESG and CC considerations in all our investments across the investment lifecycle.

- ☐ (B) External investment managers, service providers, or other external partners or suppliers
- o (C) We do not have any internal or external roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 14	CORE	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and are these KPIs linked to compensation?

- o (A) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)
- (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)

Explain why: (Voluntary)



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 15	PLUS	PGS 11	N/A	PUBLIC	Roles and responsibilities	1

What responsible investment competencies do you regularly include in the training of senior-level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation?

(2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department or equivalent

(A) Specific competence in climate change mitigation and adaptation	
(B) Specific competence in investors' responsibility to respect human rights	
(C) Specific competence in other systematic sustainability issues	
(D) The regular training of this senior leadership role does not include any of the above responsible investment competencies	0

EXTERNAL REPORTING AND DISCLOSURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 16	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

What elements are included in your regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of your AUM?

				_				_	
\Box	/ A \	Any	changes	in no	dicine	rolated to	responsibl	o invoct	mont
-	101	MIIV	CHAHUES	III DU	ハルしにころ	TEIALEU LU	TESPOLISINI	e ilivesi	HIEHL

- $\ \square$ (B) Any changes in governance or oversight related to responsible investment
- **☑** (C) Stewardship-related commitments
- ☑ (D) Progress towards stewardship-related commitments
- ☑ (E) Climate-related commitments
- ☑ (F) Progress towards climate-related commitments
- ☑ (G) Human rights-related commitments
- \square (H) Progress towards human rights–related commitments
- ☑ (I) Commitments to other systematic sustainability issues
- \Box (J) Progress towards commitments on other systematic sustainability issues



o (K) We do not include any of these elements in our regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 17	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose climate-related information in line with the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures' (TCFD) recommendations?

- ☑ (A) Yes, including all governance-related recommended disclosures
- ☑ (B) Yes, including all strategy-related recommended disclosures
- ☑ (C) Yes, including all risk management-related recommended disclosures
- ☑ (D) Yes, including all applicable metrics and targets-related recommended disclosures
- (E) None of the above Add link(s):

https://www.truenorth.co.in/responsibility/#reports

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 18	PLUS	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, to which international responsible investment standards, frameworks, or regulations did your organisation report?

- ☐ (A) Disclosures against the European Union's Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR)
- \square (B) Disclosures against the European Union's Taxonomy
- ☐ (C) Disclosures against the CFA's ESG Disclosures Standard
- (D) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations Specify:

We published the disclosures against UNPRI Framework and the TCFD framework

Link to example of public disclosures

https://www.truenorth.co.in/responsibility/#reports

- \square (E) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations
- \square (F) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations
- ☐ (G) Disclosures against other international standards, frameworks or regulations



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 19	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement?

- (A) Yes, we publicly disclosed our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- (B) No, we did not publicly disclose our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement

Explain why:

We are an active member of Indian Private Equity and Venture Capital Association (IVCA), a leading industry association in India. However, have not publicly disclosed our membership related information.

o (C) Not applicable, we were not members in or supporters of any trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement during the reporting year

STRATEGY

CAPITAL ALLOCATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 20	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

Which elements do your organisation-level exclusions cover?

- ☑ (A) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular sectors, products or services
- ☐ (B) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular regions or countries
- \Box (C) Exclusions based on minimum standards of business practice aligned with international norms such as the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, the International Bill of Human Rights, UN Security Council sanctions or the UN Global Compact
- ☑ (D) Exclusions based on our organisation's climate change commitments
- ☑ (E) Other elements

Specify:

Exclusion list for undesirable activities in line with guidance provided by ADB and IFC Performance Standards

o (F) Not applicable; our organisation does not have any organisation-level exclusions



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 21	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Capital allocation	1

How does your responsible investment approach influence your strategic asset allocation process?

- ☐ (A) We incorporate ESG factors into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- \Box (B) We incorporate climate change–related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- \Box (C) We incorporate human rights–related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- \Box (D) We incorporate risks and opportunities related to other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- (E) We do not incorporate ESG factors, climate change, human rights or other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- (F) Not applicable; we do not have a strategic asset allocation process

STEWARDSHIP: OVERALL STEWARDSHIP STRATEGY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 22	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

For the majority of AUM within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?



	(1) Listed equity	(3) Private equity
(A) Maximise our portfolio-level risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.	•	
(B) Maximise our individual investments' risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we do not seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.	0	•

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 23	PLUS	OO 5, OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

How does your organisation, or the external service providers or external managers acting on your behalf, prioritise the investees or other entities on which to focus its stewardship efforts?

Once we onboard an investee company, we engage in capacity building with their top management to disseminate ESG related aspects and to guide them by mainstreaming their ESG efforts. We do this by aiding them in identifying gaps, establishing measures and ensuring processes are in place. We have an ESG Assessment Framework in place to guide the process in a systematic manner. We also aid the partner companies (investees) to develop their implementation plan. While majority of our partner companies may not have a significant carbon footprint, their operations, supply chains and businesses are vulnerable to physical climate risks. We take meaningful steps to collaborate with each of our partner companies to enable an understanding of climate risks. Therefore, we carried out Climate Risk assessment of our Portfolio and are currently working with our Partner companies to develop mitigation measures for the climate risks identified.



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 24	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

Which of the following best describes your organisation's default position, or the position of the external service providers or external managers acting on your behalf, concerning collaborative stewardship efforts?

- \circ (A) We recognise the value of collective action, and as a result, we prioritise collaborative stewardship efforts wherever possible
- o (C) Other
- o (D) We do not join collaborative stewardship efforts

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 24.1	PLUS	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

Elaborate on your organisation's default position on collaborative stewardship, or the position of the external service providers or external investment managers acting on your behalf, including any other details on your overall approach to collaboration.

Although stewardship activities are carried out in-house by our ESG and Climate Change team, we engage with external service providers on a case-to-case basis to aid us in achieving our stewardship objectives. This engagement includes projects such as development of ESG and/or related policies for partner companies, developing customised tools such as Climate Risk Assessment tool, ESG Assessment framework etc., peer benchmarking to understand global best practices, developing sectoral guidance notes, etc.

The external expertise enhances the results of our stewardship activities as this helps to bring in sectoral as well as global insights into our projects.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 25	PLUS	OO 5, OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

Rank the channels that are most important for your organisation in achieving its stewardship objectives.

☑ (A) Internal resources, e.g. stewardship team, investment team, ESG team, or staff



Select from the list: 1 o 3 0 4 o 5 ☐ (B) External investment managers, third-party operators and/or external property managers, if applicable ☑ (C) External paid specialist stewardship services (e.g. engagement overlay services or, in private markets, sustainability consultants) excluding investment managers, real assets third-party operators, or external property Select from the list: 2 o 3 0 4 o 5 \square (D) Informal or unstructured collaborations with investors or other entities ☐ (E) Formal collaborative engagements, e.g. PRI-coordinated collaborative engagements, Climate Action 100+, or similar $\circ\hspace{0.1cm}$ (F) We do not use any of these channels

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 27	PLUS	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

How are your organisation's stewardship activities linked to your investment decision making, and vice versa?

As active owners of our portfolio we have developed sector specific guidelines on our focus sectors of Healthcare, Financial Services, Consumer and Technology Products and Services outlining material ESG issues, the key KPIs, risks, opportunities and best practices. These serve as a guidance document for our investment decision making and also guide us in meaningfully integrating ESG and Climate Change considerations into each investment during our ownership period.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 28	PLUS	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

If relevant, provide any further details on your organisation's overall stewardship strategy.



We revised and updated our Responsible Investment Policy in 2022 which gives an overall approach to the commitments and the approach on ESG & Climate strategy implementation with our partner companies and in our own operations. Our RI Policy lays down organization wide expectations and acts as a guidance document for the principles we should follow in our ESG journey. As a subset of the overarching RI Policy, we have an 'ESG Policy and implementation manual' to articulate in detail our Responsible Investment integration and implementation processes in all our investments including stewardship during ownership period. Further, under the umbrella of the RI Policy, we have a separate 'Climate Policy & Implementation Plan' where we commit to taking climate action and share this vision with our partner companies.

Our approach is to look beyond our ownership period in all our investments.

We aim to be a value adding partner for our investee companies. This involves working on both value creation opportunities and helping the partner companies comply with applicable ESG requirements and take necessary action against non-compliances, incidents (if any), and ultimately enhancing overall ESG performance.

- In all our investments with Active partnership, we collaborate to conduct/develop the following:
- Materiality assessment
- ESG Policy & Management System
- Key ESG related Policies
- Climate Risk resilience through appropriate Climate Action
- Governance structure headed by CEO with periodic updates to the Board
- Designated ESG Head
- ESG performance aspects to be part of Internal Audit scope
- Reporting on ESG information to TN on periodic basis
- Annual declaration on compliance with applicable regulations and ongoing compliance with the TN's excluded activity list
- Report on significant ESG related incidents in the company
- TN's ESG Assessment Framework is also extended to all partner companies with active partnership to:
- Assess their score at the time of onboarding and take targets to achieve desired level of maturity
- Identify ESG opportunities through continual measurement and monitoring
- Identify and recognize top performers amongst our partner companies

ESG performance and journey of the partner companies to be documented in the form of case studies.

STEWARDSHIP: (PROXY) VOTING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 30	CORE	00 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

How is voting addressed in your securities lending programme?

- o (A) We recall all securities for voting on all ballot items
- o (B) When a vote is deemed important according to pre-established criteria (e.g. high stake in the company), we recall all our securities for voting
- o (C) Other
- o (D) We do not recall our securities for voting purposes
- (E) Not applicable; we do not have a securities lending programme



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 31	CORE	OO 9.1	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

For the majority of votes cast over which you have discretion to vote, which of the following best describes your decision making approach regarding shareholder resolutions (or that of your external service provider(s) if decision making is delegated to them)?

- (A) We vote in favour of resolutions expected to advance progress on our stewardship priorities, including affirming a company's good practice or prior commitment
- o (B) We vote in favour of resolutions expected to advance progress on our stewardship priorities, but only if the investee company has not already publicly committed to the action(s) requested in the proposal
- \circ (C) We vote in favour of shareholder resolutions only as an escalation measure
- o (D) We vote in favour of the investee company management's recommendations by default
- o (E) Not applicable; we do not vote on shareholder resolutions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 32	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

During the reporting year, how did your organisation, or your external service provider(s), pre-declare voting intentions prior to voting in annual general meetings (AGMs) or extraordinary general meetings (EGMs)?

- \Box (A) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly through the PRI's vote declaration system on the Resolution Database
- ☐ (B) We pre-declared our voting intentions publicly by other means, e.g. through our website
- ☐ (C) We privately communicated our voting decision to investee companies prior to the AGM/EGM
- (D) We did not privately or publicly communicate our voting intentions prior to the AGM/EGM
- o (E) Not applicable; we did not cast any (proxy) votes during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 33	CORE	OO 9	PGS 33.1	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

After voting has taken place, do you publicly disclose your (proxy) voting decisions or those made on your behalf by your external service provider(s), company by company and in a central source?

- o (A) Yes, for all (proxy) votes
- o (B) Yes, for the majority of (proxy) votes
- o (C) Yes, for a minority of (proxy) votes
- (D) No, we do not publicly report our (proxy) voting decisions company-by-company and in a central source



Explain why:

Our policy does not provide for disclosing our proxy voting decisions publicly.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 34	CORE	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

After voting has taken place, did your organisation, and/or the external service provider(s) acting on your behalf, communicate the rationale for your voting decisions during the reporting year?

	(1) In cases where we abstained or voted against management recommendations	(2) In cases where we voted against an ESG-related shareholder resolution
(A) Yes, we publicly disclosed the rationale		
(B) Yes, we privately communicated the rationale to the company		
(C) We did not publicly or privately communicate the rationale, or we did not track this information	•	•
(D) Not applicable; we did not abstain or vote against management recommendations or ESG-related shareholder resolutions during the reporting year	0	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 35	PLUS	OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: (Proxy) voting	2

How does your organisation ensure vote confirmation, i.e. that your votes have been cast and counted correctly?



We use a digital platform (authorised by the Government of India) for casting votes. This system provides the status of the vote casted on a real time basis.

STEWARDSHIP: ESCALATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 36	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Escalation	2

For your listed equity holdings, what escalation measures did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use in the past three years?

	(1) Listed equity	
(A) Joining or broadening an existing collaborative engagement or creating a new one		
(B) Filing, co-filing, and/or submitting a shareholder resolution or proposal		
(C) Publicly engaging the entity, e.g. signing an open letter		
(D) Voting against the re-election of one or more board directors		
(E) Voting against the chair of the board of directors, or equivalent, e.g. lead independent director		
(F) Divesting		
(G) Litigation		
(H) Other		



STEWARDSHIP: ENGAGEMENT WITH POLICY MAKERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	PGS 39.1, PGS 39.2	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

Did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach during the reporting year?

- \square (A) Yes, we engaged with policy makers directly
- ☑ (B) Yes, we engaged with policy makers through the leadership of or active participation in working groups or collaborative initiatives, including via the PRI
- □ (C) Yes, we were members of, supported, or were in another way affiliated with third party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policy makers, excluding the PRI
- o (D) We did not engage with policy makers directly or indirectly during the reporting year beyond our membership in the PRI

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.1	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, what methods did you, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use to engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach?

_							
Ш	(A)	We.	participa	ited in	'sian-a	on' lette	rsج

- **☑** (B) We responded to policy consultations
- \square (C) We provided technical input via government- or regulator-backed working groups
- \square (D) We engaged policy makers on our own initiative
- \square (E) Other methods



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.2	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose details of your engagement with policy makers conducted as part of your responsible investment approach, including through external investment managers or service providers?

- \square (A) We publicly disclosed all our policy positions
- \square (B) We publicly disclosed details of our engagements with policy makers
- (C) No, we did not publicly disclose details of our engagement with policy makers conducted as part of our responsible investment approach during the reporting year

Explain why:

We do not have such policy to make our policy engagements public.

STEWARDSHIP: EXAMPLES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 40	PLUS	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PUBLIC	Stewardship: Examples	2

Provide examples of stewardship activities that you conducted individually or collaboratively during the reporting year that contributed to desired changes in the investees, policy makers or other entities with which you interacted.

(A) Example 1:

Title of stewardship activity:

Capacity building sessions on Climate Policy and ESG Policy; Sustainability Learning Series

- (1) Led by
 - o (1) Internally led

 - o (3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager
- (2) Primary focus of stewardship activity
 - ☑ (1) Environmental factors
 - ☑ (2) Social factors
 - ☑ (3) Governance factors
- (3) Asset class(es)
 - ☑ (1) Listed equity
 - \square (2) Fixed income
 - ☑ (3) Private equity
 - ☐ (4) Real estate
 - ☐ (5) Infrastructure
 - ☐ (6) Hedge funds
 - ☐ (7) Forestry
 - ☐ (8) Farmland
 - ☐ (9) Other



(4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution.

To ensure that our employees as also those of our partner companies have the right capacities, capabilities and motivation to meaningfully integrate ESG & climate change aspects into business and operations, we conduct awareness workshops and capacity building sessions with them periodically. These are:

- 1. True North Sustainability Learning Series A series of knowledge sharing sessions for True North and partner companies on curated ESG and climate change topics by leading experts in the sector
- 2. Awareness session on ESG and Climate Change ESG training sessions by external subject matter experts and practitioners on a need basis for True North and partner companies.
- 3. Trainings from external sources We encourage our employees and those of our partner companies to attend relevant trainings offered by external agencies/ industry bodies.

(B)	Examp	ole	2:
(\mathbf{D})		JIC	۷.

Title of stewardship activity:

Climate Risk Assessment

- (1) Led by
 - o (1) Internally led

 - o (3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager
- (2) Primary focus of stewardship activity
 - ☑ (1) Environmental factors
 - ☐ (2) Social factors
 - \square (3) Governance factors
- (3) Asset class(es)
 - ☑ (1) Listed equity
 - ☐ (2) Fixed income
 - ☑ (3) Private equity
 - \Box (4) Real estate
 - ☐ (5) Infrastructure
 - ☐ (6) Hedge funds
 - ☐ (7) Forestry
 - ☐ (8) Farmland
 - ☐ (9) Other
- (4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution.

To build climate resilience in our portfolio, we developed a Climate Risk Assessment Tool. Climate Risk Assessment was carried out to assess climate risks and opportunities across our existing portfolio and we also developed a roadmap (based on TCFD recommendations).

(C) Example 3:

Title of stewardship activity:

ESG Assessment Framework

- (1) Led by

 - o (2) External service provider led
 - o (3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager
- (2) Primary focus of stewardship activity
 - ☑ (1) Environmental factors
 - ☑ (2) Social factors
 - ☑ (3) Governance factors



(3) Asset class(es) ☑ (1) Listed equity ☐ (2) Fixed income ☑ (3) Private equity ☐ (4) Real estate ☐ (5) Infrastructure ☐ (6) Hedge funds ☐ (7) Forestry ☐ (8) Farmland ☐ (9) Other
(4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution.
We have developed an ESG Assessment Framework to engage with our partner companies and digitally track and monitor partner companies' progress on ESG related KPIs, reflecting their maturity and performance. Regular monitoring of these KPIs would enable us to inform our partner companies on areas where they need to take measures for risk mitigation and where there is potential to enhance their ESG performance.
(D) Example 4:
Title of stewardship activity:
Support in Materiality assessment, ESG policy development and review of other ESG linked policies
(1) Led by (2) External service provider led (3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager (2) Primary focus of stewardship activity (1) Environmental factors (2) Social factors (3) Governance factors (3) Asset class(es) (1) Listed equity (2) Fixed income (3) Private equity (4) Real estate (5) Infrastructure (6) Hedge funds (7) Forestry (8) Farmland (9) Other
(4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution.
For all investment with influence we, encourage our partner companies to carry out materiality analysis. Materiality assessment is followed by development of ESG policy, Climate Policy and other ESG linked Policies. We also help in identifying a suitable third party (consultants) for carrying out this exercise. Further we also extend support to all our partner companies for reviewing their ESG and other ESG linked policies, if required.
(E) Example 5:

(E) Example 5:

Title of stewardship activity:

Participation in public consultations such as SEBI BRSR

1	11	۱۱	_ec	1	hν
١	ъ.	<i>)</i> L	-61	ı	υν

- **(1)** Internally led
- o (2) External service provider led
- o (3) Led by an external investment manager, real assets third-party operator and/or external property manager
- (2) Primary focus of stewardship activity
 - ☑ (1) Environmental factors
 - ☑ (2) Social factors
 - ☑ (3) Governance factors
- (3) Asset class(es)
 - ☐ (1) Listed equity
 - \square (2) Fixed income
 - \square (3) Private equity
 - \Box (4) Real estate
 - ☐ (5) Infrastructure
 - ☐ (6) Hedge funds
 - ☐ (7) Forestry
 - ☐ (8) Farmland
 - ☐ (9) Other
- (4) Description of the activity and what was achieved. For collaborative activities, provide detail on your individual contribution.

We participated in policy consultations related to ESG and Climate related matters by Securities Exchange Board of India (SEBI) to provide our inputs.

CLIMATE CHANGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41	CORE	N/A	PGS 41.1	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Has your organisation identified climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments?

- \square (A) Yes, within our standard planning horizon
- ☑ (B) Yes, beyond our standard planning horizon

Specify the risks and opportunities identified and your relevant standard planning horizon:

To understand climate risks and opportunities across our portfolio of investments as part of our commitment to UNPRI Principles, we have conducted a climate risk assessment based on the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures ('TCFD') recommendations. We assessed our partner companies across the 4 pillars of TCFD i.e. Governance, Strategy, Risk Management and Metrics & Targets. We also conducted a physical climate risk assessment under Representative Concentration Pathway ('RCP') 4.5 and 8.5 until 2060 for an increase in temperature, precipitation variability, flood likelihood and drought likelihood and subsequently categorized our portfolio into Low, Medium, High and very High rating, representing lowest to highest risk considering climate hazards at their locations and measures currently being undertaken. This assessment also covered transition risks qualitatively.

o (C) No, we have not identified climate-related risks and/or opportunities affecting our investments



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41.1	CORE	PGS 41	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Does your organisation integrate climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments in its overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products?

(A) Yes, our overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products integrate climate-related risks and opportunities

Describe how climate-related risks and opportunities have affected or are expected to affect your investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products:

We have integrated Climate related risk and opportunities in our overall investment strategy and financial planning. Towards this we have taken following steps:

- We developed our Climate Policy in line with the Paris Agreement and TCFD Recommendations
- We developed a Climate Risk Assessment Tool with the help of external experts.
- Carried out Climate Risk Assessment of our portfolio to identify physical and transition risks.

Basis the results of this study, we are now in the process of helping our partner companies to put mitigation measures for the risks identified and also adopt good practices. We are currently engaging with our partner companies to understand their carbon footprint and will further help them in setting targets for carbon footprint reduction.

Our list of activities excluded for investments include activities which are high carbon emitting and without any mitigation strategy. We have also included climate aspects as part of our investment screening and due-diligence process.

o (B) No, our organisation has not yet integrated climate-related risks and opportunities into its investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 42	PLUS	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Which sectors	are covered by your	organisation's strategy	, addressing	high-emitting	sectors'
************	ale covered by your	organisation s strategy	auulessiiiu	mani-cimilana	3661013

☐ (A) Coal
☐ (B) Gas
□ (C) Oil
☐ (D) Utilities
☐ (E) Cement
☐ (F) Steel
\square (G) Aviation
\square (H) Heavy duty road
\square (I) Light duty road
☐ (J) Shipping
☐ (K) Aluminium
☐ (L) Agriculture, forestry, fishery
\square (M) Chemicals
$\hfill\square$ (N) Construction and buildings
\square (O) Textile and leather
☐ (P) Water
☑ (Q) Other



Specify:

Our focus sectors are Financial services, Healthcare, Consumer and Technology products & services which are low carbon intensive activities. We have undertaken not to invest in activities that are high-carbon emitting without any mitigation strategy.

Describe your strategy:

o (R) We do not have a strategy addressing high-emitting sectors

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 43	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Has your organisation assessed the resilience of its investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one in which the average temperature rise is held to below 2 degrees Celsius (preferably to 1.5 degrees Celsius) above preindustrial levels?

- ☐ (A) Yes, using the Inevitable Policy Response Forecast Policy Scenario (FPS) or Required Policy Scenario (RPS)
- $\hfill\square$ (B) Yes, using the One Earth Climate Model scenario
- \square (C) Yes, using the International Energy Agency (IEA) Net Zero scenario
- ☑ (D) Yes, using other scenarios

Specify:

We conducted a physical climate risk assessment under Representative Concentration Pathway ('RCP') 4.5 and 8.5 until 2060 for an increase in temperature, precipitation variability, flood likelihood and drought likelihood and subsequently categorized our portfolio into Low, Medium, High and very High rating, representing lowest to highest risk considering climate hazards at their locations and measures currently being undertaken. This assessment also covered transition risks qualitatively.

• (E) No, we have not assessed the resilience of our investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one that holds temperature rise to below 2 degrees

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 44	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

Does your organisation have a process to identify, assess, and manage the climate-related risks (potentially) affecting your investments?

- ☑ (A) Yes, we have a process to identify and assess climate-related risks
 - (1) Describe your process



Climate risk identification is an important part of overall investment process:

- As active owners of our portfolio we have developed sector specific guidelines on our focus sectors of Healthcare, Financial Services, Consumer and Technology Products and Services outlining material ESG issues, the key KPIs, risks, opportunities and best practices. These serve as a guidance document for our investment decision making and also guide us in meaningfully integrating ESG and Climate Change considerations into each investment during our ownership period.
- We have also included climate risk as part of our investment screening and due diligence process.
- Post investment, we undertake Climate Risk Assessment for all partner companies which are expected to be in our portfolio for 3 years or more.
- (2) Describe how this process is integrated into your overall risk management

For integrating climate risk resilience in our entire portfolio, we developed a Climate Risk Assessment Tool. CRA identifies the risks so that mitigation and adaptation steps can be put in place.

We have conducted a climate risk assessment based on the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures ('TCFD') recommendations. We assessed our partner companies across the 4 pillars of TCFD i.e. Governance, Strategy, Risk Management and Metrics & Targets. We also conducted a physical climate risk assessment under Representative Concentration Pathway ('RCP') 4.5 and 8.5 until 2060 for an increase in temperature, precipitation variability, flood likelihood and drought likelihood and subsequently categorized our portfolio into Low, Medium, High and very High rating, representing lowest to highest risk considering climate hazards at their locations and measures currently being undertaken. This assessment also covered transition risks qualitatively. This exercise helped us identify risks so that adequate mitigation measures can be put in place.

☑ (B) Yes, we have a process to manage climate-related risks

(1) Describe your process

Basis the results of the Climate Risk Assessment carried out, we develop Action Plans and steps for mitigating the risks (Physical and Transition) identified. We also handhold our partner companies to help them understand their carbon footprint and estimate emission reduction potential for the Company. We further ember climate resilience in our Partner companies in a meaningful manner.

(2) Describe how this process is integrated into your overall risk management

The process is integrated as part of our Climate Policy under the Responsible Investment policy.

o (C) No, we do not have any processes to identify, assess, or manage the climate-related risks affecting our investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 45	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

During the reporting year, which of the following climate risk metrics or variables affecting your investments did your organisation use and disclose?

☑ (A) Exposure to physical risk

- (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - o (1) Metric or variable used
 - o (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- (2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

https://www.truenorth.co.in/responsibility/#reports

☑ (B) Exposure to transition risk



- (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - o (1) Metric or variable used
 - o (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
- (2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

https://www.truenorth.co.in/responsibility/#reports

\square (C) Internal carbon price
\square (D) Total carbon emissions
\square (E) Weighted average carbon intensity
☐ (F) Avoided emissions
\square (G) Implied Temperature Rise (ITR)
\square (H) Non-ITR measure of portfolio alignment with UNFCCC Paris Agreement goals
\square (I) Proportion of assets or other business activities aligned with climate-related opportunities
\square (J) Other metrics or variables
o (K) Our organisation did not use or disclose any climate risk metrics or variables affecting our investments during the reporting
vear

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 46	CORE	N/A	N/A	PUBLIC	Climate change	General

During the reporting year, did your organisation disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, and/or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions?

☑ (A) Scope 1 emissions

- (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology
 - o (1) Metric disclosed
 - **(2)** Metric and methodology disclosed
- (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

https://www.truenorth.co.in/responsibility/#reports

☑ (B) Scope 2 emissions

- (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology
 - o (1) Metric disclosed
 - (2) Metric and methodology disclosed
- (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

https://www.truenorth.co.in/responsibility/#reports

☑ (C) Scope 3 emissions (including financed emissions)

- (1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology
 - o (1) Metric disclosed
- (2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

https://www.truenorth.co.in/responsibility/#reports

 \circ (D) Our organisation did not disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions during the reporting year



SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- o (A) Yes, we have identified one or more specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities
- (B) No, we have not yet identified the sustainability outcomes connected to any of our investment activities Explain why:

We have identified the UN SDG framework for developing a sustainability outcomes framework for identifying intended and unintended sustainability outcomes.

LISTED EQUITY (LE)

OVERALL APPROACH

MATERIALITY ANALYSIS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 1	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors across your listed equity strategies?

	(3) Active - fundamental			
(A) Yes, our investment process incorporates material governance factors	(1) for all of our AUM			
(B) Yes, our investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors	(1) for all of our AUM			



(C) Yes, our investment process incorporates material ESG factors beyond our organisation's average investment holding period

(2) for a majority of our AUM

(D) No, we do not have a formal process. Our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their discretion

0

(E) No, we do not have a formal or informal process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors

0

MONITORING ESG TRENDS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 2	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring ESG trends	1

Does your organisation have a formal process for monitoring and reviewing the implications of changing ESG trends across your listed equity strategies?

(3) Active - fundamental

- (A) Yes, we have a formal process that includes scenario analyses
- (B) Yes, we have a formal process, but it does not include scenario analyses
- (C) We do not have a formal process for our listed equity strategies; our investment professionals monitor how ESG trends vary over time at their discretion

 \odot



PRE-INVESTMENT

ESG INCORPORATION IN RESEARCH

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 3	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

How does your financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process incorporate material ESG risks?

(2) Active - fundamental

- (A) We incorporate material governance-related risks into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process
- (B) We incorporate material environmental and social risks into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process
- (C) We incorporate material environmental and social risks related to companies' supply chains into our financial analysis and equity valuation or security rating process
- (D) We do not incorporate material ESG risks into our financial analysis, equity valuation or security rating processes





Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 4	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

What information do you incorporate when you assess the ESG performance of companies in your financial analysis, benchmark selection and/or portfolio construction process?

	(3) Active - fundamental
(A) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on current performance across a range of material ESG factors	(2) in a majority of cases
(B) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on historical performance across a range of material ESG factors	
(C) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information on material ESG factors that may impact or influence future corporate revenues and/or profitability	
(D) We incorporate qualitative and/or quantitative information enabling current, historical and/or future performance comparison within a selected peer group across a range of material ESG factors	



(E) We do not incorporate qualitative or quantitative information on material ESG factors when assessing the ESG performance of companies in our financial analysis, equity investment or portfolio construction process

0

ESG INCORPORATION IN PORTFOLIO CONSTRUCTION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 5	PLUS	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

Provide an example of how you incorporated ESG factors into your equity selection and research process during the reporting year.

We did not invest in any Listed Equity in the reporting year.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 6	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in portfolio construction	1

How do material ESG factors contribute to your stock selection, portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process?



(3) Active - fundamental

(A) Material ESG factors contribute to the selection of individual assets and/or sector weightings within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process
(B) Material ESG factors contribute to the portfolio weighting of individual assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process
(C) Material ESG factors contribute to the country or region weighting of assets within our portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process
(D) Other ways material ESG factors contribute to your portfolio construction and/or benchmark selection process
(E) Our stock selection, portfolio construction or benchmark selection process does not include the incorporation of material ESG



factors

POST-INVESTMENT

ESG RISK MANAGEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 9	CORE	OO 17.1 LE, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

What compliance processes do you have in place to ensure that your listed equity assets subject to negative exclusionary screens meet the screening criteria?

- ☑ (A) We have internal compliance procedures that ensure all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening have pre-trade checks
- \Box (B) We have an external committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- \Box (C) We have an independent internal committee that oversees the screening implementation process for all funds or portfolios that are subject to negative exclusionary screening
- o (D) We do not have compliance processes in place to ensure that we meet our stated negative exclusionary screens

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 10	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For the majority of your listed equity assets, do you have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into your risk management process?



(2) Active - fundamental

(A) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for individual listed equity holdings	
(B) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for other listed equity holdings exposed to similar risks and/or incidents	
(C) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for our stewardship activities	
(D) Yes, our formal process includes ad hoc reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on severe ESG incidents	
(E) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into our risk management process; our investment professionals identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents at their discretion	•



PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 11	PLUS	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Performance monitoring	1

Provide an example of how the incorporation of ESG factors in your listed equity valuation or portfolio construction affected the realised returns of those assets.

We do not incorporate ESG factors as part of our LE valuation process.

DISCLOSURE OF ESG SCREENS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
LE 12	CORE	OO 17 LE, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Disclosure of ESG screens	6

For all your listed equity assets subject to ESG screens, how do you ensure that clients understand ESG screens and their implications?

- ☑ (A) We share a list of ESG screens
- ☑ (B) We share any changes in ESG screens
- ☐ (C) We explain any implications of ESG screens, such as their deviation from a benchmark or impact on sector weightings
- o (D) We do not share the above information for all our listed equity assets subject to ESG screens



FIXED INCOME (FI)

OVERALL APPROACH

MATERIALITY ANALYSIS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 1	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

Does your organisation have a formal investment process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors across your fixed income assets?

(4) Private debt

(A) Yes, our investment process incorporates material governance factors	(1) for all of our AUM
(B) Yes, our investment process incorporates material environmental and social factors	(1) for all of our AUM
(C) Yes, our investment process incorporates material ESG factors depending on different investment time horizons	(1) for all of our AUM
(D) No, we do not have a formal process; our investment professionals identify material ESG factors at their discretion	0
(E) No, we do not have a formal or informal process to identify and incorporate material ESG factors	0



PRE-INVESTMENT

ESG INCORPORATION IN RESEARCH

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
Fl 3	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

For the majority of your fixed income investments, does your organisation incorporate material ESG factors when assessing their credit quality?

	(4) Private debt
(A) We incorporate material environmental and social factors	
(B) We incorporate material governance-related factors	
(C) We do not incorporate material ESG factors for the majority of our fixed income investments	0

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 4	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

Does your organisation have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by issuer country, region and/or sector?



(4) Private debt

(A) Yes, we have a framework that differentiates ESG risks by country and/or region (e.g. local governance and labour practices) (B) Yes, we have a framework that (1) for all of our AUM differentiates ESG risks by sector (C) No, we do not have a framework that differentiates ESG 0 risks by issuer country, region and/or sector (D) Not applicable; we are not able to differentiate ESG risks by issuer country, region and/or sector due 0 to the limited universe of our

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 5	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

How does your organisation incorporate material ESG factors when selecting private debt investments during the due diligence phase?

☑ (A) We use a qualitative ESG checklist

Select from dropdown list:

issuers

- o (2) in a majority of cases
- o (3) in a minority of cases
- \Box (B) We assess quantitative information on material ESG factors, such as energy consumption, carbon footprint and gender diversity
- ☑ (C) We check whether the target company has its own responsible investment policy, sustainability policy or ESG policy

Select from dropdown list:

- o (2) in a majority of cases
- o (3) in a minority of cases



\square (D) We hire third-party	y consultants to	do technical of	due diligend	ce on spec	ific material	ESG fa	actors whe	re internal	capabilities	are
not available										

 \square (E) We require the review and sign-off of our ESG due diligence process by our investment committee, or the equivalent function

Select from dropdown list:

- o (2) in a majority of cases
- o (3) in a minority of cases
- ☐ (F) We use industry-recognised responsible investment due diligence questionnaire (DDQ) templates
- ☑ (G) We use another method of incorporating material ESG factors when selecting private debt investments during the due diligence process

Specify:

We have developed and implemented an ESG Risk Evaluation Tool for Private Debt to aid ESG integration in our Private Debt investments. The tool broadly supports the business in the following ways:

- Reviews critical ESG aspects during our screening and due diligence phase
- Evaluates the ESG risk depending on the nature of business, capital structure and the collateral provided
- Provides a final output in the form of a dashboard which comprises of scores for compliance, policy and ESG risk rating

Select from dropdown list:

- o (2) in a majority of cases
- o (3) in a minority of cases
- o (H) We do not incorporate material ESG factors when selecting private debt investments during the due diligence phase

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 6	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG incorporation in research	1

How do you incorporate significant changes in material ESG factors over time into your fixed income asset valuation process?

	(3) Private debt
(A) We incorporate it into the	
forecast of financial metrics or	
other quantitative assessments	
(B) We make a qualitative	
assessment of how material ESG	
factors may evolve	



$loodsymbol{igo}$

POST-INVESTMENT

ESG RISK MANAGEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 11	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

How are material ESG factors incorporated into your portfolio risk management process?

(4) Private debt

- (A) Investment committee members, or the equivalent function or group, can veto investment decisions based on ESG considerations
- (B) Companies, sectors, countries and/or currencies are monitored for changes in exposure to material ESG factors and any breaches of risk limits
- (C) Overall exposure to specific material ESG factors is measured for our portfolio construction, and sizing or hedging adjustments are made depending on the individual issuer or issue sensitivity to these factors
- (D) We use another method of incorporating material ESG factors into our portfolio's risk management process

(1) for all of our AUM



(E) We do not have a process to incorporate material ESG factors into our portfolio's risk management process

0

(D) We use another method of incorporating material ESG factors into our portfolio's risk management process - Specify:

We have developed and implemented an ESG Risk Evaluation Tool for Private Debt to aid ESG integration in our Private Debt investments. The tool broadly supports the business in the following ways:

- Reviews critical ESG aspects during our screening and due diligence phase
- Evaluates the ESG risk depending on the nature of business, capital structure and the collateral provided
- Provides a final output in the form of a dashboard which comprises of scores for compliance, policy and ESG risk rating

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 12	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	ESG risk management	1

For the majority of your fixed income assets, do you have a formal process to identify and incorporate material ESG risks and ESG incidents into your risk management process?

	(4) Private debt
(A) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents and their implications for individual fixed income holdings	
(B) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents, and their implications for other fixed income holdings exposed to similar risks and/or incidents	



(C) Yes, our formal process includes reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on material ESG risks and ESG incidents, and their implications for our stewardship activities	
(D) Yes, our formal process includes ad hoc reviews of quantitative and/or qualitative information on severe ESG incidents	
(E) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate ESG risks and ESG incidents; our investment professionals identify and incorporate ESG risks and ESG incidents at their discretion	0
(F) We do not have a formal process to identify and incorporate ESG risks and ESG incidents into our risk management process	0

PERFORMANCE MONITORING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 13	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Performance monitoring	1

During the reporting year, how did your organisation incorporate material ESG factors when monitoring private debt investments?

☑ (A) We used a qualitative ESG checklist

Select from dropdown list:

- o (2) in the majority of cases
- o (3) in the minority of cases
- \Box (B) We assessed quantitative information on material ESG factors, such as energy consumption, carbon footprint and gender diversity
- \Box (C) We hired third-party consultants to do technical assessment on specific material ESG factors where internal capabilities were not available
- \square (D) We used industry body guidelines
- ☑ (E) We used another method to incorporate material ESG factors into the monitoring of private debt investments



Specify:

We have developed and implemented an ESG Risk Evaluation Tool for Private Debt to aid ESG integration in our Private Debt investments. The tool broadly supports the business in the following ways:

- Reviews critical ESG aspects during our screening and due diligence phase
- Evaluates the ESG risk depending on the nature of business, capital structure and the collateral provided
- Provides a final output in the form of a dashboard which comprises of scores for compliance, policy and ESG risk rating

Select from dropdown list:

- o (2) in the majority of cases
- o (3) in the minority of cases
- o (F) We did not incorporate material ESG factors when monitoring private debt investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
FI 14	PLUS	OO 5.3 FI, OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Performance monitoring	1

Provide an example of how the incorporation of environmental and/or social factors in your fixed income valuation or portfolio construction affected the realised returns of those assets.

We do not assess environmental and/or social factors into our portfolio construction process.

PRIVATE EQUITY (PE)

POLICY

INVESTMENT GUIDELINES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 1	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Investment guidelines	1 to 6

What private equity-specific ESG guidelines are currently covered in your organisation's responsible investment policy(ies)?

- ☑ (A) Guidelines on our ESG approach tailored to the sector(s) and geography(ies) where we invest
- ☑ (B) Guidelines on our ESG approach tailored to the strategy(ies) and company stage(s) where we invest, e.g. venture capital, buy-out and distressed
- ☑ (C) Guidelines on pre-investment screening
- ☑ (D) Guidelines on minimum ESG due diligence requirements
- ☑ (E) Guidelines on our approach to ESG integration into short-term or 100-day plans (or equivalent)
- ☑ (F) Guidelines on our approach to ESG integration into long-term value-creation efforts
- (G) Guidelines on our approach to monitoring ESG risks, ESG opportunities and ESG incidents
- ☑ (H) Guidelines on our approach to ESG reporting
- (I) Our responsible investment policy(ies) does not cover private equity—specific ESG guidelines



FUNDRAISING

COMMITMENTS TO INVESTORS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 2	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Commitments to investors	1, 4

For all of the funds that you closed during the reporting year, what type of formal responsible investment commitments did you make in Limited Partnership Agreements (LPAs), side letters or other constitutive fund documents?

- o (A) We incorporated responsible investment commitments in LPAs (or equivalent) as a standard default procedure
- (B) We added responsible investment commitments in LPAs (or equivalent) upon clients' request
- \circ (C) We added responsible investment commitments in side letters upon clients' request
- o (D) We did not make any formal responsible investment commitments for the relevant reporting year
- o (E) Not applicable; we have not raised funds in the last five years

PRE-INVESTMENT

MATERIALITY ANALYSIS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 3	CORE	00 21	PE 3.1	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1

During the reporting year, how did you conduct ESG materiality analysis for your potential private equity investments?

- (A) We assessed ESG materiality at the portfolio company level, as each case is unique Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
 - o (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
 - o (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- o (B) We performed a mix of industry-level and portfolio company-level ESG materiality analyses
- o (C) We assessed ESG materiality at the industry level only
- o (D) We did not conduct ESG materiality analyses for our potential private equity investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 3.1	CORE	PE 3	N/A	PUBLIC	Materiality analysis	1



During the reporting year, what tools, standards and data did you use in your ESG materiality analysis of potential private equity investments?

- ☑ (A) We used GRI standards to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- ☑ (B) We used SASB standards to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- ☐ (C) We used the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- ☑ (D) We used environmental and social factors detailed in the IFC Performance Standards (or other similar standards used by development-focused financial institutions) in our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- ☑ (E) We used climate disclosures, such as the TCFD recommendations or other climate risk and/or exposure analysis tools, to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- ☐ (F) We used the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs) to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- ☐ (G) We used geopolitical and macro-economic considerations in our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- ☑ (H) We engaged with the prospective portfolio company to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis

☑ (I) Other

Specify:

We developed a sector level materiality where we have considered SASB and TCFD recommendations.

DUE DILIGENCE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 4	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1

During the reporting year, how did material ESG factors influence the selection of your private equity investments?

☑ (A) Material ESG factors were used to identify risks

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- o (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (B) Material ESG factors were discussed by the investment committee (or equivalent)

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- o (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- ☑ (C) Material ESG factors were used to identify remedial actions for our 100-day plans (or equivalent)

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- o (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- ☑ (D) Material ESG factors were used to identify opportunities for value creation

Select from dropdown list

- o (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
- \circ (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- \square (E) Material ESG factors informed our decision to abandon potential investments in the due diligence phase in cases where ESG risks were considered too high to mitigate
- ☐ (F) Material ESG factors impacted investments in terms of the price offered and/or paid
- o (G) Material ESG factors did not influence the selection of our private equity investments



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 5	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Due diligence	1

Once material ESG factors have been identified, what processes do you use to conduct due diligence on these factors for potential private equity investments?

- ☑ (A) We do a high-level or desktop review using an ESG checklist for initial red flags
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
 - o (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
 - o (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- ☑ (B) We send detailed ESG questionnaires to target companies
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
 - o (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
 - o (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- ☑ (C) We hire third-party consultants to do technical due diligence on specific material ESG factors

Select from dropdown list

- o (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- o (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- ☑ (D) We conduct site visits

Select from dropdown list

- o (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- o (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- ☑ (E) We conduct in-depth interviews with management and/or personnel
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
 - o (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
 - o (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- \square (F) We conduct detailed external stakeholder analyses and/or engagement
- ☑ (G) We incorporate ESG due diligence findings in all of our relevant investment process documentation in the same manner as other key due diligence, e.g. commercial, accounting and legal

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
- \circ (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- ☑ (H) Our investment committee (or an equivalent decision-making body) is ultimately responsible for ensuring all ESG due diligence is completed in the same manner as for other key due diligence, e.g. commercial, accounting, and legal
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
 - o (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
 - o (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- ☐ (I) Other
- (J) We do not conduct due diligence on material ESG factors for potential private equity investments



POST-INVESTMENT

MONITORING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 6	CORE	00 21	PE 6.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

During the reporting year, did you track one or more KPIs on material ESG factors across your private equity investments?

☑ (A) Yes, we tracked KPIs on environmental factors

Percentage of portfolio companies this applies to:

- o (1) >0 to 10%
- o (2) >10 to 50%
- o (3) >50 to 75%
- o (4) >75 to 95%
- **(5)** >95%

☑ (B) Yes, we tracked KPIs on social factors

Percentage of portfolio companies this applies to:

- o (1) >0 to 10%
- o (2) >10 to 50%
- o (3) >50 to 75%
- o (4) >75 to 95%
- **(5)** >95%

☑ (C) Yes, we tracked KPIs on governance factors

Percentage of portfolio companies this applies to:

- o (1) >0 to 10%
- o (2) >10 to 50%
- o (3) >50 to 75%
- o (4) >75 to 95%
- **(5)** >95%
- o (D) We did not track KPIs on material ESG factors across our private equity investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 6.1	PLUS	PE 6	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1

Provide examples of KPIs on material ESG factors you tracked across your private equity investments during the reporting year.

(A) ESG KPI #1

Total energy consumption within the organization - Total energy consumption within the organization (electricity, fuel, steam etc.) in kilowatt hour (kW h)

(B) ESG KPI #2

Net promoter score for employee satisfaction (eNPS)

(C) ESG KPI #3

Net promoter score for customer satisfaction (cNPS)

(D) ESG KPI #4

Total hours spent in L&D - Total number of training hours ad average hours on training per Full Time Employees p.a

(E) ESG KPI #5

Incorporation of human rights in business agreements with 3rd Parties

(F) ESG KPI #6

Net contribution to exchequer (Direct economic value generated)

(G) ESG KPI #7

Presence of Business Ethics and Integrity Policies - Code of Conduct, Anti Bribery and Anti Corruption (ABAC), Anti Money Laundering (AML), Whistle-Blower, Risk Management, Related Party Transaction, Conflict of Interest)

(H) ESG KPI #8

Presence of Cybersecurity policy / IT security policy

(I) ESG KPI #9

Presence of Business Continuity & Disaster Management Plan

(J) ESG KPI #10

% companies with Board evaluation process

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 7	CORE	00 21	PE 7.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

What processes do you have in place to support meeting your targets on material ESG factors for your private equity investments?

 \square (A) We use operational-level benchmarks to assess and analyse the performance of portfolio companies against sector performance

 \square (B) We implement international best practice standards, such as the IFC Performance Standards, to guide ongoing assessments and analyses

Select from dropdown list

- o (1) for all of our private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- \square (C) We implement certified environmental and social management systems across our portfolio
- (D) We make sufficient budget available to ensure that the systems and procedures needed are established Select from dropdown list
 - o (1) for all of our private equity investments
 - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
 - o (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- ☑ (E) We hire external verification services to audit performance, systems, and procedures



Select from dropdown list

- o (1) for all of our private equity investments
- o (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- \Box (F) We conduct ongoing engagement with all key stakeholders at the portfolio company level, e.g. local communities, NGOs, governments, and end-users

☑ (G) We implement 100-day plans, ESG roadmaps and similar processes

Select from dropdown list

- o (1) for all of our private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our private equity investments

☑ (H) Other

Specify:

For investments with influence, we encourage partner companies to undertake a materiality assessment to identify most relevant KPIs for their business as a first step towards their ESG journey. Following this, we support them in developing an ESG Policy. Our Partner Companies also undertake the ESG Assessment. Results of the ESG Assessment provide current state assessment and areas of improvement which helps us to develop an ESG roadmap with short, medium and long term goals for the company.

Select from dropdown list

- o (1) for all of our private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- o (I) We do not have processes in place to help meet our targets on material ESG factors for our private equity investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 7.1	PLUS	PE 7	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

Describe up to two processes you have put in place during the reporting year to help meet your targets on material ESG factors.

(A) Process one

We ensure the following in each Partner Company where we have influence:

- 1. Development of ESG and Climate Change Committee We ensure that an ESG and Climate Change Committee is constituted with CEO as a head of the Committee and takes place on a periodic basis. We also participate in ESG and CC governance committees meetings of our partner companies.
- 2. Dedicated ESG Head We also ensure that responsibility of ESG and Climate Change is allocated to a dedicated senior official in the Company.
- 3. Training and Capacity building We organize training and capacity building sessions on a regular basis for all our partner companies. We also share external training opportunities with them on a regular basis.

(B) Process two

One of the minimum ESG expectation from our partner companies (with influence) is to make ESG performance part of the internal Audit scope. As findings of internal audit are reviewed by the Board of the Company, ESG performance also gets covered under Board review. This brings accountability and adds focus on ESG performance. Also, challenges, if any, come out out for meaningful discussion.



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 8	PLUS	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

Describe material ESG risks and ESG opportunities that you integrate into your 100-day plans, including those accountable for their successful completion and how the process is monitored.

Before a company is on boarded, a detailed 2-step due diligence process is carried out. Findings of ESG Screening and Due Diligence are used to prepare a 100 day plan for the company. Typically the Plan includes risks and opportunities related to the following aspects:

- 1. Corporate governance
- 2. ESG Governance
- 3

Anti-bribery and Anti-corruption

- 4. Whistle Blower policy
- 5. Supply Chain
- 6. Environment
- 7.

Compliance

- 8. Risk management
- 9. Human Resources
- 10. Prevention of Sexual Harassment

11.

Cybersecurity

12. Customer Satisfaction

We ensure that the company identifies resources, assigns responsibilities including formally designating a senior management person as ESG Head and constitution of a governance committee headed by the CEO.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 9	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

Post-investment, how do you manage material ESG risks and ESG opportunities to create value during the holding period of your investments?

 \square (A) We develop company-specific ESG action plans based on pre-investment research, due diligence and materiality findings

Select from dropdown list

- o (1) for all of our private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our private equity investments

(B) We adjust our ESG action plans based on performance monitoring findings at least yearly

Select from dropdown list

- o (1) for all of our private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our private equity investments



☑ (C) We, or the external advisors that we hire, support our private equity investments with specific ESG value-creation opportunities

Select from dropdown list

- o (1) for all of our private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our private equity investments

☑ (D) We engage with the board to manage ESG risks and ESG opportunities post-investment

Select from dropdown list

- o (1) for all of our private equity investments
- o (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- ☐ (E) Other
- o (F) We do not manage material ESG risks and opportunities post-investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 10	PLUS	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

Describe how you ensure that material ESG risks are adequately addressed in the private equity investments in which you hold a minority stake.

We follow a two-step ESG due diligence process following check with exclusion list, potential opportunities are first assessed for ESG risks through desk-based preliminary screening to arrive at the risk categorization. A detailed ESG due diligence questionnaire is then shared to get detailed response and inputs on material ESG factors. Findings of the ESG due diligence help craft an action plan for the potential partner companies, which forms a part of Investment Agreement clauses.

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 11	PLUS	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	2

Describe how your ESG action plans are currently defined, implemented and monitored throughout the investment period.

As active owners, we believe in managing and improving our partner companies' ESG performance during and beyond our ownership period. For this purpose, we have developed an ESG Assessment Framework comprising 35+ ESG themes, 100+ questions and criteria and 30+ performance indicators to assess systematically, monitor and engage with our partner companies. In addition, we provide guidance and management expertise for their growth, along with carrying out collaborative engagement activities. We support our partner companies in developing governance structure for ESG and climate change, develop key policies, help review of existing policies, set up performance management mechanisms while ensuring all regulatory compliances are maintained. As a private equity fund, we recognize our ability to influence, which could be leveraged to drive meaningful change and scale up our impact.



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 12	CORE	00 21	PE 12.1	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

How do you ensure that adequate ESG-related competence exists at the portfolio company level?

- \square (A) We assign the board responsibility for ESG matters
- ☑ (B) We ensure that material ESG matters are discussed by the board at least yearly

Select from dropdown list

- o (1) for all of our private equity investments
- o (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- ☑ (C) We provide training on ESG aspects and management best practices relevant to the portfolio company to C-suite executives only

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- o (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- ☑ (D) We provide training on ESG aspects and management best practices relevant to the portfolio company to employees (excl. C-suite executives)

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- o (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- ☑ (E) We support the portfolio company in developing and implementing its ESG strategy

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- ☑ (F) We support portfolio companies by finding external ESG expertise, e.g. consultants or auditors

Select from dropdown list

- o (1) for all of our private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- ☑ (G) We share best practices across portfolio companies, e.g. educational sessions or the implementation of environmental and social management systems

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- o (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- o (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- $\hfill\square$ (H) We include penalties or incentives to improve ESG performance in management remuneration schemes
- ☐ (I) Other
- o (J) We do not ensure that adequate ESG-related competence exists at the portfolio company level



Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 12.1	PLUS	PE 12	N/A	PUBLIC	Monitoring	1, 2

Describe up to two initiatives taken as part of your ESG competence-building efforts at the portfolio company level during the reporting year.

(A) Initiative 1

Sustainability Learning Series: These are knowledge sharing sessions organised for our partner companies on curated ESG and climate change topics by ESG and CC experts in the sector. We also have CEOs of some of our partner companies talk about successful ESG integration in their respective companies.

Awareness sessions on ESG & CC: ESG training sessions by external experts on a needs basis for our partner companies are conducted to create awareness on ESG & CC updates such as policy revisions, introduction to new ESG tools, key achievements of the firm, etc.

(B) Initiative 2

External trainings: We encourage our employees and partner companies to attend ESG & CC training courses conducted by external agencies/industry bodies. Our employees are encouraged to get certifications related to ESG and CC to keep themselves updated.

EXIT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 13	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Exit	4, 6

During the reporting year, what responsible investment information was shared with potential buyers of private equity investments?

□ (A)	Our firm's high-level	commitment to responsible	investment, e.g. that we	e are a PRI signatory
-------	-----------------------	---------------------------	--------------------------	-----------------------

- ☐ (B) A description of what industry and asset class standards our firm aligns with, e.g. TCFD
- ☐ (C) Our firm's responsible investment policy (at minimum, a summary of key aspects and firm-specific approach)
- \square (D) Our firm's ESG risk assessment methodology (topics covered in-house and/or with external support)
- \square (E) The outcome of our latest ESG risk assessment on the asset or portfolio company
- \Box (F) Key ESG performance data on the asset or portfolio company being sold
- ☐ (G) Other
- o (H) No responsible investment information was shared with potential buyers of private equity investments during the reporting year
- (I) Not applicable; we had no sales process (or control over the sales process) during the reporting year



DISCLOSURE OF ESG PORTFOLIO INFORMATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 14	CORE	00 21	N/A	PUBLIC	Disclosure of ESG portfolio information	6

During the reporting year, how did you report your targets on material ESG factors and related data to your investors?

	4	(A)) We	used	a	publich	v disclosed	sustainability	repor
--	----------	-----	------	------	---	---------	-------------	----------------	-------

- \square (B) We reported in aggregate through formal reporting to investors
- \square (C) We reported at the portfolio company level through formal reporting to investors
- ☐ (D) We reported through a limited partners advisory committee (or equivalent)
- ☐ (E) We reported back at digital or physical events or meetings with investors
- ☑ (F) We had a process in place to ensure that reporting on serious ESG incidents occurred
- ☐ (G) Other
- (H) We did not report our targets on material ESG factors and related data to our investors during the reporting year

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

APPROACH TO CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 1	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PUBLIC	Approach to confidence-building measures	6

How did your organisation verify the information submitted in your PRI report this reporting year?

- □ (A) We conducted independent third-party assurance of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion
- □ (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls or governance processes to be able to conduct independent third-party assurance next year
- \Box (C) We conducted an internal audit of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report
- ☑ (D) Our board, trustees (or equivalent), senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and/or investment committee (or equivalent) signed off on our PRI report
- ☐ (E) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings to verify that our funds comply with our responsible investment policy
- \Box (F) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings as part of risk management, engagement identification or investment decision-making



\square (G) Our responses in selected sections and/or the entirety of our PRI report were internally reviewed before submission to the PRI

o (H) We did not verify the information submitted in our PRI report this reporting year

INTERNAL REVIEW

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
СВМ 6	CORE	CBM 1	N/A	PUBLIC	Internal review	6

Who in your organisation reviewed the responses submitted in your PRI report this year?

- \square (A) Board, trustees, or equivalent
- ☑ (B) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent Sections of PRI report reviewed
 - **(1)** the entire report
 - o (2) selected sections of the report
- \circ (C) None of the above internal roles reviewed selected sections or the entirety of the responses submitted in our PRI report this year

